

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/815,311	03/23/2001	Eduardo Grizante Redondo	05788.0157	7258
22852	7590 05/27/2003			
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 1300 I STREET, NW			EXAMINER	
			GRAY, JILL M	
WASHINGIC	ON, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1774	17
			DATE MAILED: 05/27/2003	17

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/815,311	REDONDO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jill M Gray	1774				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apportage Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 N	<u>1ay 2003</u> .					
<u> </u>	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	=x parte Quayle, 1933 C.D. 11, 4	33 O.G. 213.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-30</u> is/are pending in the application	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-30</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	ted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exa r	miner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Application	on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional application).				
a) The translation of the foreign language pro-						
Attachment(s)	- p aa 00 0.0.0. 33 120	warrantary tamport				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office	 	<u> </u>				

Application/Control Number: 09/815,311

Art Unit: 1774

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Applicants remarks regarding the claimed priority and rejection of claims 16-30 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) over Sonada have been considered. Accordingly the finality of the last Office Action has been withdrawn and a new rejection follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 16-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sonoda et al, 5,707,732 (Sonoda), in view of Betso et al, 6,262,161 B1 (Betso) for reasons of record, wherein Betso is cited to show the state of the art.

Sonada teaches a flame retardant composition, cable coated with said composition and a method for producing said cable, wherein the cable comprises a conductor and a flame-retardant coating, said coating being essentially as claimed by applicants. This coating comprises (a) an ethylene copolymer having a density of from .905 to .970 g/cm³ and (b) a copolymer of ethylene with at least one alpha-olefin having a density of from 0.860 to 0.904 g/cm³, (c) magnesium hydroxide in an amount to impart flame-retardant properties, and grafting organo silane groups onto the polymer chain of

Application/Control Number: 09/815,311

Art Unit: 1774

at least one of the polymeric components. See column 2, lines 18-24, column 3, lines 53-57, and column 6, lines 41-59. Furthermore, Sonada teaches that the polymeric component (a) is a component of the type set forth in claim 17 (column 3, lines 64-67), and that the component (b0 is made using a single-site catalyst as required by claim 19 (column 2, lines 30-31). Accordingly, the property required by claim 18 is inherent. As to claim 20, this is a process limitation drawn to the method of making the magnesium hydroxide that adds no patentable weight to the instant claimed cable. As to claims 21 and 22. Sonada teaches that the magnesium hydroxide is present within the range claimed by applicants in claim 22, which necessarily results in an LOI index as claimed in claim 21. See column 6, line 60 through column 7, lines 13. Regarding the hydrolzable organic silane groups grafted onto the polymer chain "for compatibilization of the natural magnesium hydroxide with the polymeric components", organo silane compounds are known coupling agents. Hence, this requirement is not more than the requirement that the organic silane component function in its normal capacity, i.e. the function as a coupling agent. Betso is cited to show the state of the art at the time the invention was made, and teaches compositions comprising an ethylene random interpolymer, magnesium hydroxide and silane coupling agents. The coupling agent can be grafted onto the interpolymer and serves to couple the filler to the interpolymer. Thus this property is an inherent function of the organic silane component.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed May 13, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 09/815,311

Art Unit: 1774

Applicants argue that Sonoda teaches synthetic magnesium hydroxide whereas the present invention requires natural magnesium hydroxide, which is not identical to synthetic magnesium hydroxide. In this regard, Sonoda uses his magnesium to obtain the same properties and purpose as does applicants, namely, to impart flame-retardant properties. Furthermore, there is no clear factual evidence on this record of unexpected or superior properties of a self-extinguishing cable having a flame-retardant coating composition, when the flame-retardant used is natural magnesium hydroxide instead of synthetic magnesium hydroxide, said unexpected or superior properties being directly related to the claimed critical natural magnesium hydroxide. Accordingly, it is the examiner's position, in the absence of such evidence, that this requirement is not a matter of invention.

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jill M Gray whose telephone number is 703.308.2381. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:00-7:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly can be reached on 703.308.0449. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703.305.5408 for regular communications and 703.305.3599 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 1774

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703.308.0651.

Page 5

jmg May 22, 2003